

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/677,023	09/29/2000	Donald E. Smith	99-885	1627
32127	7590 05/10/2004		EXAM	NER
VERIZON	CORPORATE SERVI	NGUYEN, BRIAN D		
C/O CHRISTIAN R. ANDERSEN 600 HIDDEN RIDGE DRIVE MAILCODE HQEO3H14			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2661	1
IRVING, T	X 75038	•	DATE MAILED: 05/10/2004	· //

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
• •	09/677,023	SMITH ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian D Nguyen	2661
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory in - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roon. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)□ This action is FINAL.	This action is non-final. lowance except for formal mate	• •
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 200 Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of	<u>00</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[o the drawing(s) be held in abeyar orrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) \Box Interview 9	Summary (PTO-413)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4. 	8) Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 10-11 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 10, line 6, "the interconnected routers" seems to refer back to "routers" in line 5. If this is true, it is suggested to change "the interconnected routers" to ---the routers---.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is vague and indefinite because it is not known what factors/parameters the estimating the carried traffic for each network link and the calculating steps are based on. All steps of the claim should be correlated. It is unclear whether the estimating carried traffic in line12 and estimating the carried traffic in line 12 are the same or different.

Claim 10 has the same problem as claim 1. In addition, the last three steps of the claim are not correlated to other steps of the claim.

Claim 12 has the same problem as claim 1.

Claim 17 has the same problem as claim 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 2661

4. Claims 1-17 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian D Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-5133. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-6:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Olms can be reached on (703) 305-4703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian Nguyen

5/5/04